



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/002,944	01/05/1998	THEODORE D. WUGOFSKI	450.208US1	8404

7590

02/27/2002

SCHWEGMAN LUNDBERG WOESSNER & KLUTH  
PO BOX 2938  
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402

EXAMINER
----------

BUI, KIEU OANH T

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2611

DATE MAILED: 02/27/2002

16

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

*Amh*

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

09/002,944

Applicant(s)

WUGOFSKI ET AL.

Examiner

KIEU-OANH T BUI

Art Unit

2611

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10/09/2001.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120**

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) \_\_\_\_\_.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Response to Arguments*

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-9, 11, and 13-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103*

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

*(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.*

3. Claims 1-9, 11, and 13-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shoff et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,240,555) in view of Knee et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,589,892).

Regarding claims 1 and 20, Shoff et al. (or "Shoff" hereinafter) disclose an information handling system (Fig. 2, and col. 2/line 55-col. 3/line 27), comprising a graphical user interface, i.e., a television system (Fig. 2/item 24) or a GUI 66 (Fig. 4/item 66); wherein the graphical user interface is configured to display a channel list including at least one television channel and at least one scheduled Internet channel concurrently, i.e., at least one TV channel and at least one scheduled Internet channel from the Internet broadcaster can be listed on the user's scheduled program guide on certain topics and categories (Fig. 8c, sets of buttons 212-216 for television channels shown in col. 11/lines 3-11; and sets of buttons 232 to 236 for supplemental information or scheduled Internet channels shown in col. 12/lines 7-23 & lines 48-67); and wherein the channel list includes graphical and behavioral attributes to determine the graphical user interface for the channel list when displayed in an electronic program guide, i.e., graphical

or other indicia are displayed on the screen as well as behavioral attributes such as program title and, for example, merchandise picture and description with a plurality of buttons for controlling the display format (Fig. 8c, and col. 9/lines 30-53).

Schoff does not clearly show the further step of “provide at least one of the management functions selected from the group consisting of: adding the television channel, adding the Internet channel, removing the television channel, and removing the Internet channel” as claimed; however, Knee et al (or “Knee” hereinafter) disclose an exact same method and system of providing the management functions to users in controlling the setting up of the channel lists, whether to add or remove the television channels and/or the Internet channels, by showing the technique on how to manage the channel list, the creation of favorite channel lists, and on how the user interface works and manages in order to create the channel lists based on the user’s preferences for the electronic program guide (Knee, see col. 6/lines 39-60 for both the creating of favorite channel list comprising of both real and virtual channels; see Figs. 7 & 8 and col. 28/line 15 to col. 29/line 54 for more details on how to manage a favorite channel list(s) in adding or removing a channel for a preference channel list; and col. 45/line 60 to col. 46/line 7 for programs, channels or information from the Internet can be selected). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Schoff’s interactive entertainment system with Knee’s disclosed technique in creating a favorite or preference channel list comprising both the television channels and the Internet channels, and then having an option on whether to add and/or remove a television channel and/or an Internet channel from that list as an enhanced feature for the electronic program guide as preferred.

As for claim 2, in further view of claim 1 above, Knee further reveals that “wherein the channel list is a favorite channels list”, i.e., the user can create his/her own favorite channel list by deleting or selecting a channel from choices based on news, movies or sports (Knee, Fig. 8).

With respect to claim 3, the step of “wherein the graphical user interface includes an electronic program guide configured to display the channel list” is disclosed by Shoff (Fig. 2; col. 4/lines 14-42) and Knee (Figs. 18-20 & 25-26).

Concerning claim 4, Shoff further discloses the step of “further comprising an electronic program database for storing the channel list” as Shoff includes a program memory 96 within the user’s television for storing the EPG channel list (Fig. 5, and col. 8/lines 4-18).

Regarding claims 5 and 19, in additional view of claim 1 above, the step of “further comprising a convergence system which includes the information handling system” is disclosed by Shoff due to the fact that Shoff reveals to include a DDS system, RF communication either wireless or wire-based systems can be interpreted as “a convergence system” for broadcasting interactive and non-interactive programs to a plurality of users (col. 4/lines 42-55).

Regarding claim 6, Shoff further discloses a computerized system for integrating Internet sources into an electronic program database comprising one or more television channels; one or more scheduled Internet channels; one or more channel lists integrating the television channels and the Internet channels, i.e., at least one TV channel and at least one scheduled Internet channel from the Internet broadcaster can be listed on the user’s scheduled program guide on certain topics and categories (Fig. 8c, sets of buttons 212-216 for television channels shown in col. 11/lines 3-11; and sets of buttons 232 to 236 for supplemental information or scheduled Internet channels shown in col. 12/lines 7-23 & lines 48-67); and a means for storing each one of the channel lists, for example, as Shoff includes a program memory 96 within the user’s television for storing the EPG channel list (Fig. 5, and col. 8/lines 4-18).

Shoff does not clearly reveals the system further comprising the step of “provide at least one of the management functions selected from the group consisting of: adding the television channel, adding the Internet channel, removing the television channel, and removing the Internet channel” as claimed; however, Knee et al (or “Knee” hereinafter) disclose an exact same method

and system of providing the management functions to users in controlling the setting up of the channel lists, whether to add or remove the television channels and/or the Internet channels, by showing the technique on how to manage the channel list, the creation of favorite channel lists, and on how the user interface works and manages in order to create the channel lists based on the user's preferences for the electronic program guide (Knee, see col. 6/lines 39-60 for both the creating of favorite channel list comprising of both real and virtual channels; see Figs. 7 & 8 and col. 28/line 15 to col. 29/line 54 for more details on how to manage a favorite channel list(s) in adding or removing a channel for a preference channel list; and col. 45/line 60 to col. 46/line 7 for programs, channels or information from the Internet can be selected). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Schoff's interactive entertainment system with Knee's disclosed technique in creating a favorite or preference channel list comprising both the television channels and the Internet channels, and then having an option on whether to add and/or remove a television channel and/or an Internet channel from that list as an enhanced feature for the electronic program guide as preferred.

Regarding claims 7-9, the steps of "further comprising a means for displaying a selected one of the channel lists wherein each one of the channel lists contains one or more stored properties for the means for displaying"; "wherein at least one of the stored properties relates to a graphical attribute of the means for displaying"; and "at least one of the stored properties relates to a behavioral attribute of the means for displaying" are taught by Shoff (see the Examiner's discussion as disclosed in claims 1 and 20 above).

Regarding claim 11, Schoff discloses the steps of "wherein the user interfaces provide a management function of selecting the channel list", i.e., at least one TV channel and at least one scheduled Internet channel from the Internet broadcaster can be listed on the user's scheduled program guide on certain topics and categories (Fig. 8c, sets of buttons 212-216 for television

channels shown in col. 11/lines 3-11; and sets of buttons 232 to 236 for supplemental information or scheduled Internet channels shown in col. 12/lines 7-23 & lines 48-67).

Regarding claims 13 and 14, Shoff discloses that “wherein the graphical user interface is a channel banner graphical user interface”, i.e., additional information is displaying on the user interface as a channel banner (Fig. 8c/item 240 & 242); and Knee teaches the step of “wherein the graphical user interface is a favorite channel list graphical user interface”, i.e., the user can create his/her own favorite channel list by deleting or selecting a channel from choices based on news, movies or sports (Knee, Fig. 8, and col. 28/line 33 to col. 29/line 54 for more details on this issue).

Regarding claims 15-18, these claims of “a computer-readable medium having computer-executable components comprising a database component for storing one or more channel lists, at least one of the channel lists integrating one or more television channels and one or more scheduled Internet channels; and a user interface component for displaying the channel lists” are rejected for the reasons given in the scope of the system claims 1-9, 11, 13-14 and 19-20 (to avoid unnecessary repetitions) as already discussed in details above.

### *Conclusion*

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

5. **Any response to this action should be mailed to:**  
Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks  
Washington, D.C. 20231

**or faxed to:**  
(703) 872-9314, (for formal communications intended for entry)

**Or:**  
(703) 308-5399, (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT").


*Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park IV, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).*

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Krista Kieu-Oanh Bui whose telephone number is (703) 305-0095. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:00 AM to 6:00 PM, with alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Faile, can be reached on (703) 305-4380.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Krista Bui  
Art Unit 2611  
February 12, 2002

  
ANDREW FAILE  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600